

Examples of propositions:

$\boxed{\text{for ints } n \quad n(n+1)^2 \text{ is even}}$
for ints n if n^2 even, then n even
for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ if $x \in \mathbb{Q}, y \in \mathbb{Q}$ then $xy \in \mathbb{Q}$
 $\sqrt{2}$ is not rational

In proofs, we've done

$n \text{ even} \Rightarrow n = 2c \text{ for } c \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \dots$
("implies that")

$n, y \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow nx + y \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\sqrt{2}$ rational $\Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow$ false (contradiction)

we can construct compound prop. out of smaller (atomic) prop.

\uparrow can't be broken down
if $\boxed{n \text{ is integer}}$ then $\boxed{n(n+1)^2 \text{ even}}$
 \downarrow

Syntax vs. Semantics

\hookrightarrow grammatically correct
(for a given language)

\hookrightarrow meaning of a grammatically correct sentence or statement

let p, q be prop.

natural lang

p and q
 p or q
 not p
 if p then q
 p if and only if q
 p exclusive or q

syntax

$p \wedge q$
 $p \vee q$
 $\neg p$
 $p \Rightarrow q$ ($p \rightarrow q$)
 $p \Leftrightarrow q$
 $p \oplus q$

informal semantics

T iff both p, q T
 T iff ≥ 1 of p, q T
 T iff p is false
 T iff when p T, q T
 T iff p, q matches
 T iff p, q mismatch

formal semantics (truth table)

p	q	$p \wedge q$	$p \vee q$	$\neg p$	$p \Rightarrow q$	$p \Leftrightarrow q$	$p \oplus q$
T	T	T	T	F	T	T	F
T	F	F	T	F	F	F	T
F	T	F	T	T	T	F	T
F	F	F	F	T	T	T	F

p	q	$p \wedge q$
T 2 is even	and	T 3 is odd
F 2 is even	and	F 4 is odd
F 1 is even	and	T 3 is odd
F 3 is even	and	F 2 is odd

if / then

true iff p "forces" q (false if p doesn't force q)
it's a promise that

whenever p is T, q also T
so $p \Rightarrow q$ is F when that promise is broken

That is, when p is T and q is F, $p \Rightarrow q$ is F

ex If it rains then the grass is wet.

when is lie? \nearrow a

p rains	q grass wet	$p \Rightarrow q$ rain \Rightarrow grass wet
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

if p then q can also be written as:

q whenever p
 q is necessary for p
 p only if q
 p is a sufficient condition for q
whenever p also q
 p implies q

Def 2 propositions are logically equivalent iff their truth tables are \forall the same

p	$\neg p$	$\neg\neg p$
T	F	T
F	T	F

$$p \equiv \neg\neg p$$

Def prop p is satisfiable iff its truth table has at least one T. that is, it's true under at least one truth assignment.

Def A prop. is a tautology iff every row of the truth table is T

ex $(p \Rightarrow q) \vee p$ scratch work

p	q	$p \Rightarrow q$	$(p \Rightarrow q) \vee p$
T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T
F	T	T	T
F	F	T	T

Q Suppose we have propositions p, q, r . How many rows does the truth table have?

A In general, 2^n . One for each of $\{T, F\}^n$.

$$\{T, F\}^n = \underbrace{\{T, F\} \times \{T, F\} \times \dots \times \{T, F\}}_{n \text{ times}}$$

for $n=3$,

$$\{T, F\} \times \{T, F\} \times \{T, F\} = \{ \langle T, T, T \rangle, \langle T, T, F \rangle, \dots \}$$

A drill question: how many rows does the truth table for $a \Rightarrow (b \vee (c \wedge \neg a))$ have?

$$2^3 = 8.$$

truth table for

a	b	c	$\neg a$	$c \wedge \neg a$	$b \vee (c \wedge \neg a)$	$a \Rightarrow (b \vee (c \wedge \neg a))$
T	T	T	F	F	T	T
T	T	T	F	F	T	T
T	T	F	F	F	T	T
T	T	F	F	F	T	T
T	F	T	F	F	F	F
T	F	T	F	F	F	F
T	F	F	F	F	F	F
T	F	F	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	F	T	T
F	T	F	T	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	F	T	F	F	T
F	F	F	T	F	F	T

Precedence rules

parentheses

1. \neg
2. \vee, \wedge, \oplus
3. \Rightarrow
4. \Leftrightarrow

$$c \wedge \neg a$$

break ties L to R

Def (again) 2 propositions p, q are logically equivalent if their truth tables are the same. we write $p \equiv q$ if this is true.

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$p \vee q$	$\neg(p \vee q)$	$\neg p \wedge \neg q$
T	T	F	F	T	F	F
T	F	F	T	T	F	F
F	T	T	F	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	F	T	T

$$\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$$

De Morgan's Law: $\overline{(A \cup B)} = (\bar{A} \cap \bar{B})$