1. (8 points) Suppose you have algorithms with the following runtimes. (Assume these are exact running times as a function of the input size n, not a asymptotic running times.) How much slower do these algorithms get when you double the input size?

fime for double (2n) = 2(a) ntime - 2nlog2 + 2nlogn nlogn (2n log (zn)) (b) $n \log n$ $N \log N$ = 10,9M Gr largen, 2 Klogn n logh 109,

2. (7 points) Recall the definition of big O:

f(n) is O(g(n)) if there exist positive constants n_0, c such that $f(n) \le c \cdot g(n)$ for all $n \ge n_0$. Using this definition, prove that 2n + 5 is $O(n^2)$.

Give a C, No $(=7, N_0 =)$ Notice that 2(1) + 5 = 7 and $7 \cdot (1)^{-1} = 7_{3n^2}$ 21+5= ((1) So we have $f(n_0) \leq C \cdot g(n_0)$ イリシリッ りのこ

Consider <=7, No=1. Notice mat $2n+5 \in 7 \cdot n^2$ for all NZI.

When we talk about the runtime of an algorithm, we always mean: i) there is a f(n) expressing the # of primitive operations an alg. Hakes on an input of size n (ex snlogn+zn+25) (2) give g(n) such that f(n) is O(g(n))' ex (not exactly unat we want: sniogn + 2n + 25 is O(n) ex sniogn + 2n + 25 is O(n) For i = 1, 2, ..., nFor j = i + 1, i + 3, ..., nAdd up array entries A[i] through A[j]Store the result in B[i, j]Endfor Endfor

Big Omega notation >>

Lower bounds. f(n) is $\Omega(g(n))$ if there exist constants c > 0 and $n_0 \ge 0$ such that $f(n) (\ge) c \cdot g(n)$ for all $n \ge n_0$.

Ex
$$f(n) = 32n^2 + 17n + 1.$$

• $f(n)$ is both $\Omega(n^2)$ and $\Omega(n).$
• $f(n)$ is not $\Omega(n^3).$
Now would I prove
 $32n^2 + 17n + 1$ is $\Omega(n^2)$?
Sive
 C, n_0 s.t. $32n^2 + 17n + 1 \ge cn^2$ for all $n \ge h_0$
 $32n^2 + 17n + 1$ is not $\Omega(n^3)$
to prove:
argue that there are no C, n_0 s.t.
 $argue that there are no C, n_0 s.t.
 $argue that there are no C, n_0 s.t.$$

f(n)

Tight bounds. f(n) is $\Theta(g(n))$ if there exist constants $c_1 > 0$, $c_2 > 0$, and $n_0 \ge 0$ such that $0 \le c_1 \cdot g(n) \le f(n) \ne c_2 \cdot g(n)$ for all $n \ge n_0$.

- **Ex.** $f(n) = 32n^2 + 17n + 1$.
 - f(n) is $\Theta(n^2)$.
 - f(n) is neither $\Theta(n)$ nor $\Theta(n^3)$.



f(n) is $\Theta(g(n))$ if n_0 is $\Omega(g(n))$ and f(n) is $\Omega(g(n))$

 $Is f(n) = 32n^2 + 17n + 5...$



backat: 20 Multiplication by a constant ex + (n) =Suppose I have runtime f(n) and I know it is O(g(n)). 322 Is $b \cdot f(n) = O(g(n))$ for all constants b? $Q(n) = n^3$ NO (i) yes for all b, $\frac{n0^{2}}{f(n)} = 3n^{2}$ b-3n is $O(n^3)$ $b3n^{2}$ not $O(n^{3})$. g(n) = nb = 2y25? there some c, no s.t. 6n2 2 cn3 for all n2No

10

 $15 2^{n+1} (2^n) ?$ 2" is Notice that 2ntl = 2"2 so $()(2^{n})$

 $157^{2n}n(2^n)^{7}$

hint: $2^{2n} = 2^{n+1} = 2^n 2^n$ $(\pi) \cdot f(n)$ non- (onstant

Asymptotically different functions

constant logarithmic $\log n$ mere does Sh lindar n linearitmic $n \log n$ `/ Z n^2 $\sqrt{M} = M$ quadratic n^3 cirbic logn polynomial n^a 2xponential in efficient 2^n **3***n* 2n is not O(n!) b^n n!

Big O is _____ for functions

Big Omega is _____ for functions

Big Theta is _____ for functions

We use Big O/Big Omega/Big Theta in order to:

Is there a worst-case input here? (Or best case?)

```
Algorithm 1
Input: integer array A of length n
for i = 1, 2, ..., n :
   total = 0
   for j = 1, 2, ..., n:
      total = total + A[i]
   B[i] = total
```

$$[1,2,3,4,5]$$

 $[5,4,3,2,8]$

Worst-case: worst case for given n.

Insention Sort: for size n input L it sorred: O(n) if veverse -: ()(nz) Sorted

Worst-case runtime: f(n) such that any takes f(n) steps on worst-case input of size n.