Plan for today

quiz

discuss quiz

dynamic programming intro

Back from quiz at 9:50

1. (3 points) Recall that we call a pair of values a_i and a_j in an array a a significant inversion if i < j and $a_i > 2a_j$. How many significant inversions does the following array have?

| 12 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 5 |
|----|---|---|---|---|---|
|----|---|---|---|---|---|

12 - 212 - 412-3 12-5 q - q9-3

6 significant inversions

2. Recall the recursive factorial algorithm from the homework. $f_{\alpha}(f(n))$



Let T(n) be the runtime of fact as a function of the input, n, where c is a constant representing the number of steps taken during a recursive call and b a constant representing the number of steps during a non-recursive call. Then

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} T(n-1) + c & \text{if } n > 1 \\ b & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases} \qquad \begin{array}{c} h - | \\ recursive \\ calls \end{cases}$$

(a) (5 points) Draw the recursion tree for this recurrence relation. You should label nodes with the input size (so n should be in the first node).

$$(n-1)(tb=T(n))$$

base

total=

for 1=1to1=h: total=total.

n -

(b) (1 point per blank and 3 points for the rest of the inductive case) Fill in the following parts of a proof by induction that
$$T(n) = c(n-1) + b$$
. You will need to fill in the blanks and the rest of the inductive case.
Let $M \ge 1$.
Assume that for all $m < n$, $T(m) = C(m-1)+b$. T(n) = $T(n-1)+c$
There are two cases.
If $n = 1$, then $T(n) = b$. But $T(n) = C(n-1)+b = C(1-1)+b$ meen $n = 1$, if $n > 1$, then $T(n) = T(n-1)+c$ by definition from the recurrence
 $= C((n-1)+b + C) = b$.
 $T(n) = T(n-1)+b + C$ by 1 H, since $n-1 < n$
 $= C((n-2)+b + C)$
 $= C(n-2)+b + C$
 $= C(n-2)+b + C$

Algorithmic paradigms

Algorithmic paradigms

Greed. Process the input in some order, myopically making irrevocable decisions.

Greed. Process the input in some order, myopically making irrevocable decisions.

Divide-and-conquer. Break up a problem into independent subproblems; solve each subproblem; combine solutions to subproblems to form solution to original problem.

Greed. Process the input in some order, myopically making irrevocable decisions.

Divide-and-conquer. Break up a problem into independent subproblems; solve each subproblem; combine solutions to subproblems to form solution to original problem.

Dynamic programming. Break up a problem into a series of overlapping subproblems; combine solutions to smaller subproblems to form solution to large subproblem.

Bellman. Pioneered the systematic study of dynamic programming in 1950s.



THE THEORY OF DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING

RICHARD BELLMAN

1. Introduction. Before turning to a discussion of some representative problems which will permit us to exhibit various mathematical features of the theory, let us present a brief survey of the fundamental concepts, hopes, and aspirations of dynamic programming.

To begin with, the theory was created to treat the mathematical problems arising from the study of various multi-stage decision processes, which may roughly be described in the following way: We have a physical system whose state at any time t is determined by a set of quantities which we call state parameters, or state variables. At certain times, which may be prescribed in advance, or which may be determined by the process itself, we are called upon to make decisions which will affect the state of the system. These decisions are equivalent to transformations of the state variables, the choice of a decision being identical with the choice of a transformation. The outcome of the preceding decisions is to be used to guide the choice of future ones, with the purpose of the whole process that of maximizing some function of the parameters describing the final state.

Bellman. Pioneered the systematic study of dynamic programming in 1950s.

Etymology.



THE THEORY OF DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING

RICHARD BELLMAN

1. Introduction. Before turning to a discussion of some representative problems which will permit us to exhibit various mathematical features of the theory, let us present a brief survey of the fundamental concepts, hopes, and aspirations of dynamic programming.

To begin with, the theory was created to treat the mathematical problems arising from the study of various multi-stage decision processes, which may roughly be described in the following way: We have a physical system whose state at any time t is determined by a set of quantities which we call state parameters, or state variables. At certain times, which may be prescribed in advance, or which may be determined by the process itself, we are called upon to make decisions which will affect the state of the system. These decisions are equivalent to transformations of the state variables, the choice of a decision being identical with the choice of a transformation. The outcome of the preceding decisions is to be used to guide the choice of future ones, with the purpose of the whole process that of maximizing some function of the parameters describing the final state.

Bellman. Pioneered the systematic study of dynamic programming in 1950s.

Etymology.

• Dynamic programming = planning over time.



THE THEORY OF DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING

RICHARD BELLMAN

1. Introduction. Before turning to a discussion of some representative problems which will permit us to exhibit various mathematical features of the theory, let us present a brief survey of the fundamental concepts, hopes, and aspirations of dynamic programming.

To begin with, the theory was created to treat the mathematical problems arising from the study of various multi-stage decision processes, which may roughly be described in the following way: We have a physical system whose state at any time t is determined by a set of quantities which we call state parameters, or state variables. At certain times, which may be prescribed in advance, or which may be determined by the process itself, we are called upon to make decisions which will affect the state of the system. These decisions are equivalent to transformations of the state variables, the choice of a decision being identical with the choice of a transformation. The outcome of the preceding decisions is to be used to guide the choice of future ones, with the purpose of the whole process that of maximizing some function of the parameters describing the final state.

Bellman. Pioneered the systematic study of dynamic programming in 1950s.

Etymology.

- Dynamic programming = planning over time.
- Secretary of Defense had pathological fear of mathematical research.



THE THEORY OF DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING

> planning / problem solving

RICHARD BELLMAN

1. Introduction. Before turning to a discussion of some representative problems which will permit us to exhibit various mathematical features of the theory, let us present a brief survey of the fundamental concepts, hopes, and aspirations of dynamic programming.

To begin with, the theory was created to treat the mathematical problems arising from the study of various multi-stage decision processes, which may roughly be described in the following way: We have a physical system whose state at any time t is determined by a set of quantities which we call state parameters, or state variables. At certain times, which may be prescribed in advance, or which may be determined by the process itself, we are called upon to make decisions which will affect the state of the system. These decisions are equivalent to transformations of the state variables, the choice of a decision being identical with the choice of a transformation. The outcome of the preceding decisions is to be used to guide the choice of future ones, with the purpose of the whole process that of maximizing some function of the parameters describing the final state.

Bellman. Pioneered the systematic study of dynamic programming in 1950s.

Etymology.

- Dynamic programming = planning over time.
- Secretary of Defense had pathological fear of mathematical research.
- Bellman sought a "dynamic" adjective to avoid conflict.



THE THEORY OF DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING

RICHARD BELLMAN

1. Introduction. Before turning to a discussion of some representative problems which will permit us to exhibit various mathematical features of the theory, let us present a brief survey of the fundamental concepts, hopes, and aspirations of dynamic programming.

To begin with, the theory was created to treat the mathematical problems arising from the study of various multi-stage decision processes, which may roughly be described in the following way: We have a physical system whose state at any time t is determined by a set of quantities which we call state parameters, or state variables. At certain times, which may be prescribed in advance, or which may be determined by the process itself, we are called upon to make decisions which will affect the state of the system. These decisions are equivalent to transformations of the state variables, the choice of a decision being identical with the choice of a transformation. The outcome of the preceding decisions is to be used to guide the choice of future ones, with the purpose of the whole process that of maximizing some function of the parameters describing the final state.

• Job *j* starts at s_j , finishes at f_j , and has weight $w_j > 0$.



• Job *j* starts at s_j , finishes at f_j , and has weight $w_j > 0$.



- Job *j* starts at s_j , finishes at f_j , and has weight $w_j > 0$.
- Two jobs are compatible if they don't overlap.



- Job *j* starts at s_j , finishes at f_j , and has weight $w_j > 0$.
- Two jobs are compatible if they don't overlap.
- Goal: find max-weight subset of mutually compatible jobs.



Earliest finish-time first.

- Consider jobs in ascending order of finish time.
- Add job to subset if it is compatible with previously chosen jobs.

Earliest finish-time first.

- Consider jobs in ascending order of finish time.
- Add job to subset if it is compatible with previously chosen jobs.

Recall. Greedy algorithm is correct if all weights are 1.

Earliest finish-time first.

- Consider jobs in ascending order of finish time.
- Add job to subset if it is compatible with previously chosen jobs.

Recall. Greedy algorithm is correct if all weights are 1.

Observation. Greedy algorithm fails spectacularly for weighted version.



Convention. Jobs are in ascending order of finish time: $f_1 \le f_2 \le \ldots \le f_n$.



Convention. Jobs are in ascending order of finish time: $f_1 \le f_2 \le \ldots \le f_n$. p(z) = 0 p(z) = 0 p(1) = 0Def. p(j) = largest index i < j such that job i is compatible with j. Si is the right most interval that ends before j begins. \frown φ time

Def. OPT(j) = max weight of any subset of mutually compatible jobs for subproblem consisting only of jobs 1, 2, ..., *j*.

Dynamic programming: binary choice

Def. $OPT(j) = \max$ weight of any subset of mutually compatible jobs for subproblem consisting only of jobs 1, 2, ..., *j*.

Goal. OPT(n) = max weight of any subset of mutually compatible jobs.

donstjobj doseleetjobj $OPT(j) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} Max(OPT(j-1), wj + OPT(p(j))) j > 0$

Dynamic programming: binary choice

Def. $OPT(j) = \max$ weight of any subset of mutually compatible jobs for subproblem consisting only of jobs 1, 2, ..., *j*.

Goal. OPT(n) = max weight of any subset of mutually compatible jobs.

$$OPT(j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j = 0\\ \max \{ OPT(j-1), w_j + OPT(p(j)) \} & \text{if } j > 0 \end{cases}$$



Weighted interval scheduling: brute force

BRUTE-FORCE $(n, s_1, ..., s_n, f_1, ..., f_n, w_1, ..., w_n)$

Sort jobs by finish time and renumber so that $f_1 \le f_2 \le ... \le f_n$. Compute p[1], p[2], ..., p[n]. RETURN COMPUTE-OPT(n).



Sort jobs by finish time and renumber so that $f_1 \le f_2 \le ... \le f_n$. Compute p[1], p[2], ..., p[n]. RETURN COMPUTE-OPT(n).

