

Formal Languages

languages = sets of strings

ex { string, array }

{ 0, 1 }*

The set of all Python programs that print "Hello, world!"

	language?	length/size?
\emptyset	yes	$ \emptyset = 0$
$\{ \}$	no	$ \{ \} = 0$
$\{\epsilon\}$	yes	$ \{\epsilon\} = 1$

[] empty list

""

empty string (in Python)

$L = \{ w \in \{0, 1\}^* : w \text{ has an even number of } 1\text{'s} \}$

$00 \in L$
 $0101 \in L$

$\epsilon \in L$

$1 \notin L$

Familiar set operations:

$$L = A \cup B$$

$$L = A \cap B$$

$$L = A \setminus B$$

Some new operators:

Concatenation:

$$L = A \cdot B = \{x \cdot y : x \in A \text{ and } y \in B\}$$

$$A = \{\text{black, blue}\}$$

$$B = \{\text{berry, fish, top}\}$$

$$A \cdot B = \{\text{blackberry, black fish, blueberry, blue fish, blacktop, bluetop}\}$$

$$|A \cdot B| = |A| \cdot |B|$$

What is $\emptyset \cdot L$ \forall languages L ? \emptyset

Check your answer: what is

$$|\emptyset \cdot L| \stackrel{?}{=} 0 \cdot |L| = 0$$

What should we concatenate with L to get L ?

$x \cdot L = L$ what is x ?

$$x = \{\epsilon\}$$

Kleene Star

$L = A^*$ = concatenation of any strings in A

$w \in L \iff w = \epsilon$
or $w = x \cdot y$ for $x \in A, y \in A^*$

$A = \{ba, bar\}$ how big is A^* ?

$barbaba \in A^*$

why? $\epsilon \in A^*$

$$ba = ba \cdot \epsilon \in A^*$$

$\uparrow \quad \uparrow$
in A in A^*

$$baba = ba \cdot ba \in A^*$$

$\uparrow \quad \uparrow$
in A in A^*

$$barbaba = bar \cdot baba \in A^*$$

Let L be a language.
Is L^* always infinite?

If $L = \{\epsilon\}$, then $L^* = \{\epsilon\}$

Consider $L = \{a\}$ what is L^* ?

$\{\epsilon, a, aa, aaa, aaaa, \dots\}$

If $L = \emptyset$, then $L^* = \{\epsilon\}$

Regular Languages

Language L
is regular

\Leftrightarrow branching $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} L = \emptyset \\ L = \{w\} \end{array} \right.$ for some $w \in \Sigma^*$

sequencing $L = A \cup B$ for reg. languages A, B

repetition $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} L = A \circ B \\ L = A^* \end{array} \right.$ for reg. lang. A, B
for reg. lang. A

while —

—
—
— w

if —

—
—
— $A \cup B$

else —

—
—
—

—
—
—
— $A \circ B$

Are all languages regular?

Some new notation for regular expression:

$L = \emptyset$
 $L = \{w\}$
 $L = A \cup B$
 $L = A \circ B$

\emptyset
 w
 $A + B$
 AB

note: $*$ has highest precedence

$$L = A^*$$

$$A^*$$

ex $0 + 10^* = \{0\} \cup (\{1\} \cdot \{0\}^*)$
 $= \{0, 1, 100, 10, 1000, \dots\}$

1 followed by any # of 0's and 0

$L =$ alternating 0's and 1's = never have 00 or 11

what is a regular expression for L ?

strings in L ?

0101, ϵ , 101, 101010, 1, 0, ...

strings not in L ?

11, 00, 010101010100, ...

look for patterns that are repeated in long strings

$$(1 + \epsilon)(01)^*(0 + \epsilon)$$

0	1
010	101
01	1010
01010	
0101	
⋮	

Describe in English:

operator precedence:

*

•

+

(concatenation)

00000^*

$(00000)^*$

$((0+1)(0+1))^*$

0000000000ϵ

Give a regular expression for:

The set of all binary strings that contain the substring 0000.

$(1+0)^* (0000) (1+0)^*$

000000

Proofs about regular languages

Theorem: every regular expression is perfectly cromulent.

Proof: Let R be an arbitrary regular expression.

Assume that every regular expression smaller than R is perfectly cromulent. \Leftarrow IH

There are five cases to consider.

- Suppose $R = \emptyset$.

prove R is P.C

Therefore, R is perfectly cromulent.

- Suppose R is a single string.

prove that R is P.C

Therefore, R is perfectly cromulent.

- Suppose $R = S + T$ for some regular expressions S and T .

The induction hypothesis implies that S and T are perfectly cromulent.

prove that R is P.C.

Therefore, R is perfectly cromulent.

- Suppose $R = S \cdot T$ for some regular expressions S and T .

The induction hypothesis implies that S and T are perfectly cromulent.

prove that R is P.C.

Therefore, R is perfectly cromulent.

- Suppose $R = S^*$ for some regular expression S .

The induction hypothesis implies that S is perfectly cromulent.

prove that R is P.C.

Therefore, R is perfectly cromulent.

In all cases, we conclude that R is perfectly cromulent.