What's going to be different about our last two papers from what we've seen so far
input:
output:
runtime:
worst -case

| Problem | Input | Output | Runtime |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Max flow | $G=(V, E), s, t$ | Maximum flow | $O\left(E^{1+o(1)}\right)$ |
|  | $\in V, C: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ | $F: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ | $O\left(V E^{2}\right)$ |
| Flow decomposition | $G=(V, E), s, t$ | $P, w$ decomposing flow | $O\left(E^{2}\right)$ |
|  | $\in V, F: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ |  |  |
| Minimum flow | $G=(V, E), s, t$ | $P, w$ decomposing flow | NP-Hard |
| decomposition | $\in V, F: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ | $\|P\|$ minimized |  |
| Linear programming | $\max c^{t} x$ | Feasible $x^{*}$ maximizing | Matrix multiplication |
|  | $A x \leq b$ | objective (or | time |
|  | $x \geq 0$ | infeasible/unbounded) |  |
| Integer linear | $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ |  |  |
| programming | $\max c^{t} x$ | Feasible $x^{*}$ maximizing | NP-Hard |
|  | $A x \leq b$ | objective (or |  |
| infeasible/unbounded) |  |  |  |
|  | $x \geq 0$ |  |  |

one single answer

Data structures vs. algorithms
specific input
bring that can give many
(query)
space $/$ memory
queries must re fast
egg. Google
runtime
okay (?) w/ slower
runtime
log. $0: 1+$ gas

Goals for today
data structures:
hash table bloom filter
randomness
estimate vs. exact

What do you already know about hash functions and hash tables?

- data structure
- spread out inputs
- hash function maps inputs to indices
$\rightarrow$ modular antnmetic prime
- insert: O(1)
- query: o(1)


Hash Table


## Hash Function

(owner, pet) dictionary


Assume hash function operates on any item from $U$ (integers, strings, etc) and is
$O(1)$ time

## Hash Table

"Hashing with chaining" or "chain hashing"


## Hash Function



Assume hash function operates on any item from $U$ (integers, strings, etc) and is $O(1)$ time

## Hash Table

$I$ add $m$ items to an $n$-bucket hash table

## Without probability, what can I say?

| Question | Assumption statement comment |
| :--- | :--- |
| Does any bucket have <br> more the one item? | $m>n$ yes by pigeon hole |
| principle |  |

## Hash Table

## $I$ add $m$ items to an $n$-bucket hash table

## Without probability, what can I say?

| Question | Assumption Statement | Comment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Does any bucket have <br> more the one item? | $m>n$ | Yes | Pigeonhole <br> principle |
| Is any bucket empty? | $m<n$ | Yes | "Empty <br> pigeonhole" <br> principle |
| What is the average <br> bucket occupancy? | - | $m / n$ | - |

How do we get $\mathrm{O}(1)$ expected query time?


## Hash Function

```
int hash(int x) \& \(b^{s a l t}\)
    int a \(=349534879\); // randomly chosen
    int \(b=23479238 ; ~ / /\) randomly chosen
    // return some function of \(x\), \(a\) and \(b\)
\}
```

E.g. The family $h_{a, b}(x)=(a x+b)$ mod $p$ where $p$ is prime \& $a, b$ are uniform, independent draws from $\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}$

When did we choose $a$ and $b$ ?

Algorithm phases


Algorithm phases

Random variables
used in analysis
are random over
the choice of
hash functions
Not over
the input data

We make no distributional assumptions about the input.

## Phase 1 <br> Choose algorithm

# Universal hashing 

A family of hash functions $H$ from universe $U$ with $|U| \geq n$ to range $\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$


## Universal hashing

A family of hash functions $H$ from universe $U$ with $|U| \geq n$ to range $\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ is 2 -universal if
for distinct elements $x_{1}, x_{2}$ and for function $h$ drawn uniformly from $H$ :

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(h\left(x_{1}\right)=h\left(x_{2}\right)\right) \leq \frac{1}{n}
$$



$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(h\left(x_{1}\right)=h\left(x_{2}\right)\right) \leq \frac{1}{n}
$$

How do we get $O(1)$ expected query time?

set
A simpler problem: have we seen $x$ ?
But suppose my data is so big that I can't have n close to m estimate vs. exact

A simpler problem: have we seen $x$ ?
But suppose my data is so big that I can't have n close to m


